

A Dual Basis for L-Splines and Applications

KARL SCHERER

Institut für Angewandte Mathematik, Universität Bonn, Bonn, West Germany

AND

LARRY L. SCHUMAKER*

Free University of Berlin, Berlin, Federal Republic of Germany; and the Hahn-Meitner Institute, Berlin, Federal Republic of Germany

Communicated by Carl de Boor

Received January 22, 1979

DEDICATED TO THE MEMORY OF P. TURÁN

1. INTRODUCTION

Let

$$L = D^m + \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} a_i(x) D^i \tag{1.1}$$

be a linear differential operator defined on the interval $[a, b]$ with null space $N(L)$. Given a partition $\Delta = \{a = x_0 < x_1 < \dots < x_k < x_{k+1} = b\}$ of $[a, b]$ and a vector $\mathcal{M} = (m_1, \dots, m_k)$ of integers with $1 \leq m_i \leq m$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, k$, we define

$\mathcal{S}(L; \mathcal{M}; \Delta) = \{s \mid_{(x_i, x_{i+1})} \in N_L, i = 0, 1, \dots, k, \text{ and}$

$$D_-^{j-1} s(x_i) = D_+^{j-1} s(x_i), j = 1, 2, \dots, m - m_i, i = 1, \dots, k\}. \tag{1.2}$$

We call $\mathcal{S}(L; \mathcal{M}; \Delta)$ the space of *L-splines*.

Although *L-splines* have been studied in a number of papers, compared with polynomial splines (see, e.g., the survey article of de Boor [1]) there remain a number of important gaps in their constructive theory. The purpose of this paper is to fill some of these gaps. To accomplish this, we construct a basis for \mathcal{S} consisting of local support *L-splines*, and a corresponding dual basis. We then use the latter to study the condition number of the *LB-splines*,

* Supported in part by the Alexander von Humboldt Foundation.

to study certain quasi-interpolants, and to obtain error bounds for L -spline interpolation.

2. LB -SPLINES

In this section we construct local support L -splines which are the analogs of the classical polynomial B -splines. Although there are techniques for constructing such local support splines in general (cf., Jerome and Schumaker [10]), for our purposes we need an explicit construction (which, in fact, closely resembles the construction of the polynomial B -splines).

We begin by defining an *extended partition* $\bar{\Delta} = \{y_{ij}\}_{i=1}^{2m+K}$, $K = \sum_{i=1}^k m_i$, associated with $\mathcal{S}(L; \mathcal{M}; \Delta)$:

$$y_1 = y_2 = \dots = y_m = a, \quad b = y_{m+K+1} = \dots = y_{2m+K} \quad (2.1)$$

and

$$y_{m+1} \leq \dots \leq y_{m+K} = \overbrace{x_1, \dots, x_1}^{m_1}, \dots, \overbrace{x_k, \dots, x_k}^{m_k}. \quad (2.2)$$

Our aim is to construct a sequence $\{B_i\}_{i=1}^{m+K}$ of splines in $\mathcal{S}(L; \mathcal{M}; \Delta)$ such that

$$B_i(x) > 0 \quad \text{for } y_i < x < y_{i+m} \quad (2.3)$$

and

$$B_i(x) = 0 \quad \text{for } a \leq x < y_i, \quad y_{i+m} < x \leq b. \quad (2.4)$$

We shall define B_i explicitly in terms of a certain Green's function and with the help of certain generalized divided differences. First we need the following fact from the theory of ordinary differential equations.

LEMMA 2.1. *Given L as in (1.1), there exists $\delta > 0$ such that if J is any subinterval of $I = [a, b]$ with $|J| < \delta$, then N_L has a basis $\{u_i^J\}_{i=1}^m$ which forms an Extended Complete Tchebychev system on J .*

See, e.g., Karlin [13].

As our construction of the LB -splines involves using ECT-systems, we shall henceforth assume that $2m\bar{\Delta} < \delta$, where $\bar{\Delta} = \max_{0 \leq i \leq k} (x_{i+1} - x_i)$. Suppose that n is such that $h = (b - a)/n < \delta/2$, and let $z_\nu = a + \nu \cdot h$, $\nu = 0, 1, \dots, n$. Fix $0 \leq \nu \leq n$. By construction, the interval $J_\nu = \{z_\nu, z_{\nu+2}\}$ has length less than δ , and hence by Lemma 2.1 there exists an ECT-system $\{u_i^J\}_{i=1}^m$ forming a basis for N_L on J_ν . For ease of notation we drop the superscript J_ν , keeping in mind that if we work on other intervals we will have to

take a new basis. By the theory of ECT-systems, we may assume that $\{u_i\}_1^m$ is given in the canonical form

$$\begin{aligned} u_1(x) &= w_1(x) \\ u_2(x) &= w_1(x) \int_{z_\nu}^x w_2(s_2) ds_2 \\ &\dots \\ u_m(x) &= w_1(x) \int_{z_\nu}^x w_2(s_2) \int_{z_\nu}^{s_2} \dots \int_{z_\nu}^{s_{m-1}} w_m(s_m) ds_m \dots ds_2, \end{aligned} \tag{2.5}$$

where $w_i \in C^{m-i}[J_\nu]$ and $w_i(x) > 0, i = 1, 2, \dots, m$.

Associated with the ECT-system $\{u_i\}_1^m$ we shall need the differential operators

$$L_i = D_i D_{i-1} \dots D_0,$$

where

$$D_i f = D(f/w_i), \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, m$$

and $D_0 f = f$. We note that on the interval J_ν the differential operator L_m is well defined and has null space $N_{L_m} = N_L = \text{span}\{u_i\}_1^m$. For later reference, we note that Green's function associated with L_m and the initial conditions $L_i f(z_\nu) = 0, i = 0, 1, \dots, m - 1$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned} g_m(x; y) &= w_1(x) \int_y^x w_2(s_2) \int_y^{s_2} \dots \int_y^{s_{m+1}} w_m(s_m) ds_m \dots ds_2, \quad x \geq y \\ &= 0, \quad x < y. \end{aligned} \tag{2.6}$$

There is an adjunct set of functions which will play an important role in our construction. Let

$$\begin{aligned} u_1^*(x) &= 1, \\ u_2^*(x) &= \int_{z_\nu}^x w_m(s_m) ds_m, \\ &\dots \\ u_m^*(x) &= \int_{z_\nu}^x w_m(s_m) \int_{z_\nu}^{s_m} \dots \int_{z_\nu}^{s_3} w_2(s_2) ds_2 \dots ds_m. \end{aligned}$$

The set $U_m^* = \{u_i^*\}_i^m$ forms an ECT-system on J . It spans the null space of the differential operator L_m^* , where

$$\begin{aligned} L_i^* &= D_i^* D_{i-1}^* \dots D_1^*, \\ D_i^* f &= \frac{Df}{w_{m-i+1}}, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, m, \end{aligned}$$

The ECT-system U_m^* can be extended to an ECT-system $U_{m+1}^* = \{u_i^*\}_1^{m+1}$ simply by adding the function

$$u_{m+1}^*(x) = \int_{z_\nu}^x w_{m+1}(s_{m+1}) \int_{z_\nu}^{s_{m+1}} \cdots \int_{z_\nu}^{s_3} w_2(s_2) ds_2 \cdots ds_{m+1},$$

where w_{m+1} is any positive function on J_ν .

Given a sufficiently smooth function f , we define its *divided difference with respect to U_{m+1}^** over the points $t_1 \leq \cdots \leq t_{m+1} \in J$ by

$$[t_1, \dots, t_{m+1}]_* f = \frac{D \left(\begin{matrix} t_1, \dots, t_{m+1} \\ u_1^*, \dots, u_m^*, f \end{matrix} \right)}{D \left(\begin{matrix} t_1, \dots, t_{m+1} \\ u_1^*, \dots, u_{m+1}^* \end{matrix} \right)}, \tag{2.7}$$

where

$$D \left(\begin{matrix} t_1, \dots, t_{m+1} \\ u_1^*, \dots, u_{m+1}^* \end{matrix} \right) = \det(L_{d_j}^* u_i^*(t_j))_{i,j=1}^{m+1}$$

with

$$d_j = \max\{k: t_j = t_{j-1} = \cdots = t_{j-k}\}, \quad j = 1, \dots, m.$$

The numerator in (2.7) is defined similarly. For convenience of notation, we write

$$D_{U_{m+1}^*}(t_1, \dots, t_{m+1}) = D \left(\begin{matrix} t_1, \dots, t_{m+1} \\ u_1^*, \dots, u_{m+1}^* \end{matrix} \right).$$

This determinant is never 0 for $\{t_i\}_1^{m+1}$ in J_ν since U_{m+1}^* is an ECT-system on this interval.

We are finally ready to define the *LB-splines* B_i for all i such that $z_\nu \leq y_i < z_{\nu+1}$. For any such i , let

$$B_i(x) = (-1)^m \alpha_i [y_i, \dots, y_{i+m}]_* g_m(x; y), \quad i = 1, \dots, m + K, \tag{2.8}$$

where

$$\alpha_i = \frac{D_{U_{m+1}^*}(y_i, \dots, y_{i+m}) \cdot D_{U_{m+1}^*}(y_{i+1}, \dots, y_{i+m-1})}{D_{U_m^*}(y_{i+1}, \dots, y_{i+m}) \cdot D_{U_m^*}(y_i, \dots, y_{i+m-1})}, \tag{2.9}$$

and $g_m(x; y)$ is Green's function defined in (2.6).

The construction outlined above can be repeated for each $\nu = 0, 1, \dots, n - 1$ to construct a full set of $m + K$ *LB-splines* $\{B_{i1}\}_1^{m+K}$. The following theorem summarizes their basic properties.

THEOREM 2.2. For each $i = 1, 2, \dots, m + K$, the spline B_i is the unique (aside from a constant multiplier) L-spline satisfying properties (2.3)–(2.4). Moreover,

$$\sum_{i=1}^{m+K} B_i(x) = u_1^\nu(x), \quad \nu = 0, \tag{2.10}$$

and

$$\sum_{i=1}^{m+K} B_i(x) \leq u_1^\nu(x) + u_1^{\nu-1}(x), \quad \nu = 1, \dots, n, \tag{2.11}$$

where in general $\{u_i^\nu\}_1^m$ is the ECT-system associated with the interval $J_\nu = [z_\nu, z_{\nu+2}]$.

Proof. For each i , if J_ν is the associated interval used in the construction of B_i , then on J_ν we have $B_i \in \mathcal{S}(N_{L_m}; \mathcal{M}; \Delta)$, and it follows that $B_i \in \mathcal{S}(L; \mathcal{M}; \Delta)$. Moreover, on J_ν , B_i is in fact a Tchebycheffian B -spline (cf. [15–17]), and thus satisfies properties (2.3)–(2.4). If \tilde{B}_i were another element of $\mathcal{S}(N_{L_m}; \mathcal{M}; \Delta)$ satisfying (2.3)–(2.4), then for some choice of β , $g = B_i - \beta\tilde{B}_i$ would have a zero in the interval (y_i, y_{i+m}) , which by Theorem 5.1 of [17] can happen only if $g = 0$; i.e., $B_i = \beta\tilde{B}_i$.

To show (2.10)–(2.11) we rely on results on Tchebycheffian splines. Let $I_\nu = [z_\nu, z_{\nu+1}]$, $\nu = 0, \dots, n - 1$. Then with the normalization (2.8), it is known (cf. Marsden [15]) that for $x \in I_\nu$ the sum of all $B_i(x)$ with y_i in $I_{\nu-1}$ is at most $u_1^{\nu-1}(x)$. Similarly, for $x \in I_\nu$, the sum of $B_i(x)$ with $y_i \in I_\nu$ is at most $u_1^\nu(x)$. Property (2.11) follows. In I_0 we have a complete set of Tchebycheffian B -splines, and hence the sum is exactly $u_1^0(x)$ for all $x \in I_0$. ■

Theorem 2.2 shows that each of the LB -splines $\{B_{i1}^{m+K}\}$ defined in (2.8) is an element of $\mathcal{S}(L; \mathcal{M}; \Delta)$. It can be shown by a simple direct argument that $\mathcal{S}(L; \mathcal{M}; \Delta)$ is a $m + K$ -dimensional linear space (cf. [16]). Since it follows from our construction of a dual set of linear functionals $\{\lambda_{i1}^{m+K}\}$ in the next section that the $\{B_{i1}^{m+K}\}$ are linearly independent, we conclude that the LB -splines $\{B_{i1}^{m+K}\}$ form a basis for $\mathcal{S}(L; \mathcal{M}; \Delta)$.

In the remainder of this section we explore the connection between the LB -splines and the classical polynomial B -splines. We begin with a lemma about determinants formed from an ECT-system.

LEMMA 2.3. Let $U_m = \{u_i\}_1^m$ be an ECT-system as in (2.5), and let $a \leq t_1 \leq \dots \leq t_m \leq b$. Then the determinant $D = D_{U_m}(t_1, \dots, t_m)$ can be written as a multiple integral (over positively oriented subintervals of $[a, b]$) whose integrand involves only products of the functions w_1, \dots, w_m . The same assertion holds for the determinant

$$L_k D_{U_m}(t_1, \dots, t_{m-1}, x) \tag{2.12}$$

for all $k = 0, 1, \dots, m - 1$ and all $a \leq x \leq b$, $x \neq t_i$, $i = 1, \dots, m - 1$.

Proof. To establish this lemma we need the concept of a reduced system associated with U_m . Following Karlin [13], we call $U_m^j = \{u_{j,i}\}_{i=1}^{m-j}$ the *j*th reduced system associated with U_m , where

$$\begin{aligned} u_{j,1}(x) &= w_{j+1}(x), \\ u_{j,2}(x) &= w_{j+1}(x) \int_a^x w_{j+2}(s_{j+2}) ds_{j+2}, \\ &\dots \\ u_{j,m-j}(x) &= w_{j+1}(x) \int_a^x \dots \int_a^{s_{m-1}} w_m(s_m) ds_m \dots ds_{j+2}. \end{aligned}$$

Clearly U_m^j is an ECT-system.

We proceed by induction on m . Suppose that

$$t_1 \leq t_2 \leq \dots \leq t_m = \overbrace{\tau_1, \dots, \tau_1}^{l_1} < \dots < \overbrace{\tau_a, \dots, \tau_a}^{l_a}. \tag{2.13}$$

Then factoring $w_1(\tau_1)$ out of the first row of D , $w_1(\tau_2)$ out of the $l_1 + 1$ st row, etc., and subtracting each row with a 1 in the first column from its predecessor with a 1 in the first column we obtain (after expanding about the first column)

$$\begin{aligned} D &= w_1(\tau_1) \dots w_1(\tau_a) \\ &\cdot \int_{\tau_1}^{\tau_2} \dots \int_{\tau_{a-1}}^{\tau_a} D_{U_m^1}(\overbrace{\tau_1, \dots, \tau_1}^{l_1-1}, s_1, \overbrace{\tau_2, \dots, \tau_2}^{l_2-1}, s_2, \dots, \overbrace{\tau_a, \dots, \tau_a}^{l_a-1}) ds_1 \dots ds_{a-1}. \end{aligned} \tag{2.14}$$

Now by the inductive hypothesis the integrand is a multiple integral of products of the w 's, and our first assertion has been established.

Consider now the determinant (2.12). By the definition of the L_k , we note that

$$\begin{aligned} L_k u_i(x) &= 0, & i &= 1, 2, \dots, k, \\ &= u_{k, i-k}(x), & i &= k + 1, \dots, m. \end{aligned}$$

Thus the row corresponding to x in the determinant has zeros in the first k columns. Thus if k steps of the above process are carried out, this row is not disturbed, and we end up with a determinant formed from functions in the k th reduced system U_m^k . Now the argument used above applies to complete the proof. ■

We can now give upper and lower bounds on the determinants discussed

in Lemma 2.3. Our bounds involve the classical *Vandermande determinants* defined by

$$V(t_1, \dots, t_m) = D_{V_m}(t_1, \dots, t_m),$$

where $V_m = \{1, x, \dots, x^{m-1}\}$; i.e., $w_1(x) = 1$ and $w_i(x) = x^{i-1}$, $i = 2, \dots, m$.

LEMMA 2.4. *Let $U_m = \{u_{ij}\}_1^m$ be an ECT-system as in (2.5), and let*

$$\underline{M}_i = \min_{a \leq x \leq b} w_i(x), \quad \bar{M}_i = \max_{a \leq x \leq b} w_i(x), \quad (2.15)$$

$i = 1, 2, \dots, m$. Then there is a positive function $C_1(M_1, \dots, M_m)$ such that for all $a \leq t_1 < \dots < t_m \leq b$,

$$\underline{C}_1 V(t_1, \dots, t_m) \leq D_{U_m}(t_1, \dots, t_m) \leq \bar{C}_1 V(t_1, \dots, t_m), \quad (2.16)$$

where $\underline{C}_1 = C_1(\underline{M}_1, \dots, \underline{M}_m)$ and $\bar{C}_1 = C_1(\bar{M}_1, \dots, \bar{M}_m)$. Moreover, for $a \leq x \leq b$,

$$\begin{aligned} \underline{C}_1 |D^k V(t_1, \dots, t_{m-1}, x)| &\leq |L_k D_{U_m}(t_1, \dots, t_{m-1}, x)| \\ &\leq \bar{C}_1 |D^k V(t_1, \dots, t_{m-1}, x)|. \end{aligned} \quad (2.17)$$

Proof. In view of Lemma 2.3, we get an upper bound on $D_{U_m}(t_1, \dots, t_m)$ if we replace each weight function w_i by \bar{M}_i , $i = 1, 2, \dots, m$. But if w_1, \dots, w_m are all constant, then the functions u_1, \dots, u_m are constant multiples of $1, x, \dots, x^{m-1}$, and the corresponding determinant is a constant multiple of the Vandermonde. This proves the upper bound in (2.16). To get the lower bound we substitute \underline{M}_i for each w_i , $i = 1, 2, \dots, m$. The bounds in (2.17) are established in the same way. ■

The following theorem shows that the *LB-splines* $\{B_{ij1}^{m+K}\}$ can be regarded as perturbations of the classical normalized polynomial *B-splines* defined by

$$N_i^m(x) = (y_{i+m} - y_i)(-1)^m [y_i, \dots, y_{i+m}](y - x)_+^{m-1}, \quad i = 1, \dots, m + K,$$

where

$$[y_i, \dots, y_{i+m}]f = \frac{D \begin{pmatrix} y_i, \dots, y_{i+m} \\ 1, \dots, x^{m-1}, f \end{pmatrix}}{\begin{pmatrix} y_i, \dots, y_{i+m} \\ 1, \dots, x^m \end{pmatrix}}. \quad (2.18)$$

is the usual divided difference.

THEOREM 2.5. Fix $\{y_i\}_1^{m+K}$, and consider a sequence of differential operators as in (1.1) with coefficients $a_{0,n}, \dots, a_{m-1,n}$. Let $B_{1,n}(x), \dots, B_{m+K,n}(x)$ be the associated *LB-splines*. Then

$$\|a_{i,n}\|_\infty \rightarrow 0, \quad i = 0, \dots, m - 1 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty, \quad (2.19)$$

implies

$$\|B_{i,n} - N_i^m\|_\infty \rightarrow 0, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, m + K.$$

Proof. From the theory of ordinary differential equations we know that (2.19) implies that the null spaces of the corresponding linear differential operators tend to $V_m = \{1, x, \dots, x^{m-1}\}$. At the same time the w 's defining the ECT-systems spanning these null spaces tend to the values $w_1 = 1, w_i = i - 1, i = 2, \dots, m$ associated with the functions V_m . It follows that Green's function $g_m(x; y)$ in (2.6) tends to $(y - x)_+^{m-1}$, while by Lemma 2.4 the divided difference (2.7) tends to the usual divided difference (2.18). We conclude that $B_{i,n}$ converges uniformly to N_i^m for each $i = 1, 2, \dots, m + K$. ■

This perturbation result can be used to extend some of the properties of polynomial *B-splines* to *LB-splines* for L sufficiently near D^m . We do not make further use of this observation, however, as the dual basis constructed in the following section is a considerably more potent tool.

3. A DUAL BASIS

Suppose that $2m\bar{\Delta} < \delta$, where $\bar{\Delta}$ and δ are as in Section 2, and let $\{B_i\}_1^{m+K}$ be the *LB-splines* constructed in (2.8). In this section we construct a *dual basis* for $\{B_i\}_1^{m+K}$; i.e., a set of linear functionals $\{\lambda_i\}_1^{m+K}$ such that

$$\lambda_i B_j = \delta_{ij}, \quad i, j = 1, 2, \dots, m + K.$$

Fix $1 \leq i \leq m + K$, and let J_i be the subinterval of $[a, b]$ used in the construction of B_i . Let L_m^* be the operator defined in 2 associated with this interval, and let U_m^* be the associated ECT-system. Set

$$\varphi_i(x) = \frac{D_{U_m^*}(y_{i+1}, \dots, y_{i+m-1}, x)}{D_{U_{m-1}^*}(y_{i+1}, \dots, y_{i+m-1})}.$$

Let $T(x) \in L_\infty^m(\mathbb{R})$ be such that

$$\begin{aligned} T(x) &\equiv 0 && \text{for } x \leq 0, \\ T(x) &\equiv 1 && \text{for } x \geq 1, \\ \|D^j T\|_{L_\infty[0,1]} &\leq C_j < \infty, && j = 0, 1, \dots, m - 1. \end{aligned}$$

T is a kind of transition function; it can be constructed by integrating the perfect B -spline (see [3]). With

$$\psi_i(x) = \varphi_i(x) \cdot T\left(\frac{x - y_i}{y_{i+m} - y_i}\right) \tag{3.1}$$

we now define a linear functional for functions $f \in L_1[a, b]$ by

$$\lambda_i f = \int_{y_i}^{y_{i+m}} f(x) L_m^* \psi_i(x) dx. \tag{3.2}$$

THEOREM 3.1. *The sequence of linear functionals $\{\lambda_i\}_1^{m+K}$ forms a dual basis for $\{B_j\}_1^{m+K}$.*

Proof. By the support property (2.4) of the B -splines, it follows directly from (3.2) that

$$\lambda_i B_j = 0, \quad j = 1, 2, \dots, i - m, i + m, \dots, m + K.$$

Now it is easily shown (cf. [16]) that if $L_m^* f \in L_1[y_j, y_{j+m}]$, then

$$[y_j, \dots, y_{j+m}]_* f = \int_{y_j}^{y_{j+m}} \frac{B_j(x) L_m^* f(x)}{\alpha_j} dx. \tag{3.3}$$

This implies that

$$\lambda_i B_j = \alpha_j [y_j, \dots, y_{j+m}]_* \psi_i.$$

Since $\psi_i(x)$ vanishes at $y_{i+1}, \dots, y_{i+m-1}$ (with derivatives when there are repetitions), it follows that

$$\lambda_i B_j = 0, \quad j = i + 1 - m, \dots, i - 1.$$

The functions ψ_i and φ_i agree on the point set y_j, \dots, y_{j+m} (along with their derivatives in case of repeated y 's) for $j \geq i$. Since $\varphi_i \in U_m^*$, this implies

$$\lambda_i B_j = [y_j, \dots, y_{j+m}]_* \varphi_i = 0, \quad j = i + 1, \dots, i + m - 1.$$

It remains to check that $\lambda_i B_i = 1$. By construction $\alpha_i \psi_i$ agrees with the function

$$\xi_i(x) = \frac{D_{U_{m+1}^*}(y_i, \dots, y_{i+m-1}, x)}{D_{U_m^*}(y_i, \dots, y_{i+m-1})}$$

on the point set y_i, \dots, y_{i+m} . But then

$$\lambda_i B_i = [y_i, \dots, y_{i+m}]_* \xi_i = 1,$$

and the theorem is proved. ■

The following theorem gives bounds on the linear functionals $\{\lambda_i\}_1^{m+K}$.

THEOREM 3.2. *The linear functionals $\{\lambda_i\}_1^{m+K}$ defined in (3.2) satisfy ($\bar{A}_i := (y_{i+m} - y_i)$)*

$$|\lambda_i f| \leq C \bar{A}_i^{-1/p} \|f\|_{L_p[y_i, y_{i+m}]}, \tag{3.4}$$

$i = 1, 2, \dots, m + K$ and any $1 \leq p \leq \infty$, where C is a constant depending only on m and the quantities in (2.15).

Proof. Applying the Hölder inequality to (3.2), we have (with $I_i = [y_i, y_{i+1}]$)

$$|\lambda_i f| \leq \|f\|_{L_p[I_i]} \cdot \|L_m^* \psi_i\|_{L_{p'}[I_i]}$$

with $1/p + 1/p' = 1$. Using the Leibniz rule, it is easy to show by induction on m that

$$L_m^* \psi_i(x) = \sum_{k=0}^m \frac{c_k(x)}{d_k(x)} D^{m-k} T \left(\frac{x - y_i}{y_{i+m} - y_i} \right) L_k^* \varphi_i(x),$$

where $c_k(x)$ depends only on the values of $\{w_{ij}\}_1^m$ and their derivatives while $d_k(x)$ depends only on powers of $\{w_{ij}\}_1^m$. Since

$$\left\| D^{m-k} T \left(\frac{x - y_i}{y_{i+m} - y_i} \right) \right\|_{L_\infty[I_i]} \leq \frac{C_{m-k}}{(y_{i+m} - y_i)^{m-k}}, \quad k = 0, 1, \dots, m,$$

and $L_m^* \varphi_i = 0$, this implies

$$\frac{|\lambda_i f|}{\|f\|_{L_p[I_i]}} \leq (y_{i+m} - y_i)^{1-1/p} \max_{0 \leq k \leq m-1} \frac{\|L_k^* \varphi_i\|_{L_\infty[I_i]}}{(y_{i+m} - y_i)^{m-k}}. \tag{3.5}$$

By Lemma 2.4, and the definition of φ_i ,

$$|L_k^* \varphi_i(x)| \leq C_3 |D^k \Phi_i(x)|, \quad x \in I_i,$$

where

$$\Phi_i(x) = \frac{V(y_{i+1}, \dots, y_{i+m-1}, x)}{V(y_{i+1}, \dots, y_{i+m-1})}$$

Now suppose

$$J_{i+1}, \dots, J_{i+m-1} = \overbrace{\tau_1, \dots, \tau_1}^{l_1} < \dots < \overbrace{\tau_d, \dots, \tau_d}^{l_d}$$

Then

$$\Phi_i(x) = \prod_{i=1}^d (x - \tau_i)^{l_i}$$

and it follows that

$$|D^k \Phi_i(x)| \leq C_4 \bar{\Delta}_i^{m-1-k}, \quad k = 0, 1, \dots, m - 1.$$

Substituting this in (3.5) yields (3.4). ■

The dual basis $\{\lambda_{ij}\}_1^{m+K}$ for $\{B_i\}_1^{m+K}$ can now be used to examine the conditioning of this basis.

THEOREM 3.3. Fix $1 \leq p \leq \infty$. For $i = 1, 2, \dots, m + K$ let

$$B_{i,p}(x) = \bar{\Delta}_i^{-1/p} B_i(x). \tag{3.6}$$

Then there exist constants $0 < C_1, C_2 < \infty$ depending only on m and the quantities $\{\underline{M}_i, \bar{M}_i\}_1^m$ in (2.15) (with respect to the finitely many intervals J_i) such that

$$\left(\sum_{i=1}^{m+K} |c_i|^p \right)^{1/p} \leq C_1 \left\| \sum_{i=1}^{m+K} c_i B_{i,p} \right\|_{L_p[a,b]} \leq C_2 \left(\sum_{i=1}^{m+K} |c_i|^p \right)^{1/p} \tag{3.7}$$

for all sets of coefficients c_1, \dots, c_{m+K} .

Proof. Let $s = \sum_{i=1}^{m+K} c_i B_{i,p}$. Then

$$\sum_{i=1}^{m+K} |c_i|^p = \sum_{i=1}^{m+K} |\lambda_i s|^p \bar{\Delta}_i \leq C \sum_{i=1}^{m+K} \|s\|_{L_p[I_i]}^p \leq C \|s\|_{L_p[a,b]}^p.$$

Conversely, by our normalization of the B -splines (cf. (2.10)-(2.11))

$$|B_{i,p}(x)| \leq M_1 \cdot \bar{\Delta}_i^{-1/p}, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, m + K.$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_a^b |s(x)|^p dx &= \sum_{j=0}^K \int_{x_j}^{x_{j+1}} \left| \sum_{i=j-m+1}^j c_i B_{i,p} \right|^p \\ &\leq \sum_{j=0}^K \int_{x_j}^{x_{j+1}} \max_{j-m+1 \leq i \leq j} \|B_{i,p}\|_{L_p[I_i]}^p m^{p-1} \sum_{i=j-m+1}^j |c_i|^p \\ &\leq C \sum_{i=1}^{m+K} |c_i|^p. \quad \blacksquare \end{aligned}$$

4. APPLICATIONS

In this section we illustrate how the dual basis constructed in the previous section can be used to derive properties of L -splines. We begin by defining a useful approximation operator. Let $\{B_i\}_1^{m+K}$ and $\{\lambda_i\}_1^{m+K}$ be as in 3. Then for every $f \in L_p[a, b]$ we define

$$Qf(x) = \sum_{i=1}^{m+K} \lambda_i f B_i(x).$$

Q is a mapping of $L_p[a, b]$ onto the spline space $\mathcal{S}(L; \mathcal{M}; \Delta)$. In analogy with similar operators which have been constructed for polynomial splines, we call it a *quasi-interpolant* (cf. [1, 4, 13]).

THEOREM 4.1. *Q is a bounded linear projector of $L_p[a, b]$ onto $\mathcal{S}(L; \mathcal{M}; \Delta)$. Moreover, if $f \in L_p^m(a, b) = \{f: D^j f \in L_p(a, b), 0 \leq j \leq m\}$ then for $j = 0, 1, \dots, m - 1$,*

$$\|D^j(f - Qf)\|_q \leq C \frac{\bar{\Delta}^{m+1/q-1/p}}{\underline{\Delta}^j} \|Lf\|_p \tag{4.1}$$

for all $1 \leq p \leq q \leq \infty$. Here C is a constant which is independent of both f and Δ while $\underline{\Delta}$ is defined by $\underline{\Delta} = \min_{1 \leq i \leq m+k} (y_{i+1} - y_i)$.

Proof. Fix $0 \leq j \leq m - 1$ and $a \leq t \leq b$. By the generalized Taylor expansion associated with Green's function g_m^* corresponding to the operator L_m^* , we have

$$f(x) = p_f(x) + \int_t^x g_m^*(x; y) Lf(y) dy, \tag{4.2}$$

with $p_f \in U_m$ such that

$$D^j f(t) = D^j p_f(t), \quad j = 0, 1, \dots, m - 1.$$

Since clearly $Qg = g$ for all $g \in \mathcal{S}(L; m; \Delta)$, Theorem 3.2 implies

$$\begin{aligned} |D^j(f - Qf)(t)| &= |D^j(p_f - Qf)(t)| \\ &= \left| \sum_{i=1}^{m+k} \lambda_i (p_f - f) D^j B_i(t) \right| \\ &\leq C \sum_{i=\nu+1-m}^{\nu} \bar{\Delta}_i^{-1/p} \|p_f - f\|_{L_p(I_i)} |D^j B_i(t)|, \end{aligned}$$

where $\nu = \nu(t)$ is such that $t \in I_\nu$, where in general $I_i = [y_i, y_{i+1})$. Now applying the Hölder inequality to (4.2), and observing that

$$|g_m^*(x; t)| \leq C |x - t|^{m-1} \quad \text{for all } x \text{ and } t,$$

one easily sees that

$$\bar{\Delta}_i^{-1/p} \|f - p_f\|_{L_p[I_i]} \leq C \bar{\Delta}^{m-1/p} \|Lf\|_{L_p[I_i]}.$$

By a Bernstein–Markov-type inequality for L -splines (cf. [12]),

$$|D^j B_i(t)| \leq \frac{C}{\underline{\Delta}^j} \|B_i\|, \quad j = 0, 1, \dots, m - 1.$$

Combining these facts, we obtain

$$|D^j(f - Qf)(t)| \leq C \frac{\bar{\Delta}^{m-1/p}}{\underline{\Delta}^j} \sum_{i=v+1-m}^v \|Lf\|_{L_p[I_i]}.$$

and integrating the q th power,

$$\|D^j(f - Qf)\|_{L_q[I_i]} \leq C \frac{\bar{\Delta}^{m-1/p+1/q}}{\underline{\Delta}^j} \sum_{r=i+1-m}^i \|Lf\|_{L_p[I_r]}.$$

Summing this inequality over $i = m, \dots, m + K$ and using the Jensen inequality we obtain (4.1). ■

As a second application of our dual linear functionals, we now give error bounds for best interpolating L -splines. Let $y_1 \leq y_2 \leq \dots \leq y_{2m+K}$ be a set of points with $y_i < y_{i+m}$, all i , and such that

$$y_1 = \dots = y_m = a, \quad b = y_{m+K+1} = \dots = y_{2m+K}.$$

For each $i = 1, 2, \dots, m + K$, define

$$d_i = \max\{j: y_i = \dots = y_{i-j}\}$$

and

$$\mu_i f = D^{d_i} f(y_i).$$

Associated with these linear functionals we may consider the *best interpolation problem*

$$\underset{u \in U_F}{\text{minimize}} \|Lu\|_{L_2[a,b]}, \tag{4.3}$$

where F is a given function in $L_2^m[a, b]$, and

$$U_F = \{f \in L_2^m[a, b]: \mu_i f = \mu_i f, i = 1, 2, \dots, m + K\}. \tag{4.4}$$

Such best interpolation problems have been considered by many authors (see, e.g., [9, 11] and the references therein).

It is known (cf. [11]) that if $K \geq m$ then problem (4.3) has a unique solution s (called an L -spline) and s is uniquely characterized by the fact that it lies in U_F and satisfies the orthogonality condition

$$\int_a^b Ls(x) Lg(x) dx = 0 \quad \text{for all } g \in U_0.$$

The spline s can be determined numerically if we introduce the B -splines $\{B_{i,j}^*\}_{i=1}^{m+K}$ associated with the partition $\{y_{i,j}\}_{i=1}^{m+K}$ and the operator L^* . In this case, it is known that

$$Ls(x) = \sum_{i=1}^{m+K} c_i B_{i,2}^*(x), \quad (4.5)$$

To determine the coefficients $\{c_i\}$, we observe that (cf. (3.3)) for each $j = 1, 2, \dots, m + K$,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_a^b B_j^*(x) LF(x) dx &= [y_j, \dots, y_{j+m}]_* F = [y_j, \dots, y_{j+m}]_* s \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{m+K} c_i \int_a^b B_j^*(x) B_{i,2}^*(x) dx. \end{aligned}$$

This implies that

$$Ac = r, \quad (4.6)$$

where $c = [c_1, \dots, c_{m+K}]^T$, $r = (r_1, \dots, r_{m+K})^T$, and $A = (A_{ij})_{i,j=1}^{m+K}$, with

$$\begin{aligned} r_j &= \int_a^b B_j^*(x) LF(x) dx, \\ A_{ij} &= \int_a^b B_j^*(x) B_{i,2}^*(x) dx. \end{aligned} \quad (4.7)$$

(Here we have used the normalized versions of the B -splines introduced in (3.6).)

As a first step towards establishing error bounds for $F - s$, we have the following theorem.

THEOREM 4.2. *Let $1 \leq p \leq \infty$. Then*

$$\|Ls\|_p \leq C \|LF\|_p (\bar{\Delta}/\underline{\Delta})^{1/p-1/2} \quad (4.8)$$

where C is a constant independent of F , s and Δ . (In the following, C is always such a constant but may have a different value in each line).

Proof. First we show that the condition number $K = \|A\|_2 \cdot \|A^{-1}\|_2$ is bounded independently of Δ . For any vector $\gamma \in R^{m+K}$, (3.7) (applied to the B-splines $B_{i,2}^*$) implies

$$\|A\gamma\|_2 \geq \left\| \sum \gamma_j B_{j,2}^* \right\|_2^2 / \|\gamma\|_2 \geq C \|\gamma\|_2.$$

This shows $\|A^{-1}\|_2$ is bounded. On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned} \|A\gamma\|_2 &= \sup_{\beta \neq 0} \frac{|(A\gamma, \beta)|}{\|\beta\|_2} \\ &= \sup_{\beta} \left| \int \sum \gamma_j B_{j,2}^* \sum \beta_i B_{i,2}^* \right| / \|\beta\|_2 \\ &\leq \sup_{\beta} \left\| \sum \gamma_j B_{j,2}^* \right\|_2 \left\| \sum \beta_i B_{i,2}^* \right\|_2 / \|\beta\|_2 \\ &\leq C \|\gamma\|_2, \end{aligned}$$

where we have again used (3.7). This shows $\|A\|_2$ is bounded. By a theorem of Demko [6], the elements b_{ij} of the inverse matrix A^{-1} then satisfy

$$|b_{ij}| \leq C\theta^{|i-j|}$$

for some constants C and $\theta \in [0, 1)$ which are independent of Δ . Thus, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\sum_k |c_k|^p \right)^{1/p} &\leq C \left(\sum_k \left(\sum_j \theta^{|k-j|} |r_j| \right)^p \right)^{1/p} \\ &\leq C \sum_j \theta^{|j|} \left(\sum_k |r_{j+k}|^p \right)^{1/p} \leq C \left(\sum_k |r_k|^p \right)^{1/p}. \end{aligned}$$

Since, by (3.7),

$$\|Ls\|_p = \left\| \sum_{i=1}^{m+K} c_i \bar{A}_i^{1/p-1/2} B_{i,p}^* \right\|_p \leq C \max_i \bar{A}_i^{1/p-1/2} \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^{m+K} |c_i|^p \right\}^{1/p}$$

and furthermore

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\sum_{j=1}^{m+K} |r_j|^p \right)^{1/p} &\leq C \left\{ \sum_j \left| \int \bar{A}_j^{-1/2} B_j^* LF \right|^p \right\}^{1/p} \\ &\leq C \max_j \bar{A}_j^{1/p'-1/2} \left\{ \sum_j \|LF\|_{L_p[I_j]}^p \right\}^{1/p} \\ &\leq C \max_j \bar{A}_j^{1/2-1/p} \|LF\|_p, \end{aligned}$$

the combination of all these inequalities gives (4.8).

Using Theorems 4.1 and 4.2 we can now establish the desired error bounds for L -spline interpolation.

THEOREM 4.3. *Fix $1 \leq p \leq \infty$. Then for $\bar{\Delta}$ sufficiently small, the solution $s = s(F)$ of the best interpolation problem (4.3) satisfies*

$$\| D^j(F - s) \|_p \leq C_1 \frac{\bar{\Delta}^m}{\underline{\Delta}^j} \| LF \|_p, \quad 0 \leq j \leq m \tag{4.9}$$

if $F \in L_p^m[a, b]$, and

$$\| D^j(F - s) \|_p \leq C \frac{\bar{\Delta}^{2m}}{\underline{\Delta}^j} \| L^*LF \|_p R_j(\bar{\Delta}/\underline{\Delta}), \quad 0 \leq j \leq 2m - 1 \tag{4.10}$$

if $F \in L_p^{2m}[a, b]$. Here the constant C_1 does not depend on F or Δ while $R_j(\bar{\Delta}/\underline{\Delta}) = (\bar{\Delta}/\underline{\Delta})^{\max(m, 2m-j)+|1/p-1/2|}$.

Proof. By inequalities (3.7) and (3.9) in [11] we have

$$\| D^j(F - s(F)) \|_p \leq C \frac{\bar{\Delta}^m}{\underline{\Delta}^j} \| L(F - s(F)) \|_p, \quad 0 \leq j \leq m. \tag{4.11}$$

(Actually these inequalities are stated there only for $p = 2$, but the proof carries over immediately to general p .) Coupling (4.11) with (4.8) yields (4.9).

To prove (4.10), we use the standard trick of working with an intermediate approximation. Let $\mathcal{S}_{L^*L} = \{f \in L_2^{2m-1}[a, b] : f|_{I_i} \in N_{L^*L}, i = 0, 1, \dots, k\}$. By the methods above we can construct a quasi-interpolant \tilde{Q} mapping $L_p[a, b]$ onto \mathcal{S}_{L^*L} . Let $g = F - \tilde{Q}F$. Then theorem 4.1 for this quasi-interpolator implies

$$\| D^i g \|_p \leq C \frac{\bar{\Delta}^{2m}}{\underline{\Delta}^i} \cdot \| L^*LF \|_p, \quad 0 \leq i \leq 2m - 1. \tag{4.12}$$

Since $s(\tilde{Q}F) = \tilde{Q}F$, it follows that

$$\| D^j(F - s) \|_p = \| D^j g - D^j s(g) \|_p \leq \| D^j g \|_p + \| D^j s(g) \|_p. \tag{4.13}$$

We need to estimate the second term. Let $m \leq j \leq 2m - 1$. Then by a Bernstein–Markov-type inequality for L^*L -splines (cf. [12]),

$$\begin{aligned} \| D^j s(g) \| &\leq C \underline{\Delta}^{m-j} \| D^m s(g) \|_p \\ &\leq C \underline{\Delta}^{m-j} (\| D^m(s(g) - g) \|_p + \| D^m g \|_p). \end{aligned} \tag{4.14}$$

Using (4.8) and (4.11)–(4.12), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|D^m(s(g) - g)\|_p &\leq C(\bar{\Delta}/\underline{\Delta})^m \|L(s(g) - g)\|_p \leq C(\bar{\Delta}/\underline{\Delta})^{m+|1/p-1/2|} \|Lg\|_p \\ &\leq C(\bar{\Delta}/\underline{\Delta})^{m+|1/p-1/2|} \sum_{i=0}^m \|a_i\|_\infty \|D^i g\|_p \\ &\leq C(\bar{\Delta}/\underline{\Delta})^{2m+|1/p-1/2|} \bar{\Delta}^m \|L^*LF\|_p \end{aligned}$$

Substituting this in (4.14) and then in (4.13), we obtain (4.10) for $m \leq j \leq 2m - 1$.

Now let $0 \leq j \leq m$. Then (4.10) follows from the fact (cf. [11]) that

$$\|D^j(F - s)\|_p \leq C\bar{\Delta}^{m-j} \|D^m(F - s)\|_p. \blacksquare$$

5. REMARKS

1. If L is such that N_L has a basis $\{u_i\}_1^m$ which is an ECT-system throughout $[a, b]$, then the LB -splines and the dual basis can be constructed without the assumption that $\bar{\Delta}$ be sufficiently small. In this case the L -splines are called Tchebycheffian splines. Tchebycheffian B -splines were first constructed by Karlin [13]. Here we have followed the construction and normalization of Marsden [15]. For more on the construction of local support bases for generalized spline spaces, see Jerome [8] and Jerome and Schumaker [10].

2. Our approach to constructing a dual basis for the Tchebycheffian B -splines follows that of de Boor [3] used for the polynomial B -splines. There explicit numerical bounds for the norms of the linear functionals could be obtained.

3. The quasi-interpolant constructed in Section 4 is only one of a large collection of possible quasi-interpolants. It is possible that analogs of the quasi-interpolants of Lyche and Schumaker [14] could also be found for L -splines. Their advantage was that the bounds on (lower) derivatives could be established which did not depend on $\underline{\Delta}$. Theorem 4.1 gives bounds on the distance from L -spline spaces to various classes of smooth functions. For related results of this type, see Jerome [8] and Johnen and Scherer [12]. It is also possible to sharpen Theorem 4.1 to give estimates in terms of certain K -functionals and/or generalized moduli of smoothness—see DeVore [7] for the polynomial spline case.

4. Bounds on the error of L -spline interpolation in terms of $\|LF\|_2$ or $\|L^*LF\|_2$ have been established in several papers; see, e.g., Swartz and Varga [18], Jerome and Varga [11], and Varga [19]. For polynomial splines, de Boor [2] gave estimates in terms of the ∞ -norm—(he actually considered the some-

what different and more complicated case of a biinfinite set of interpolation conditions. The results given here can be extended to include more general interpolation conditions (cf. Varga [19]), and can also be made local (cf. [5, 11] in the polynomial case). Biinfinite sets of interpolation conditions as well as periodic problems could also be considered.

REFERENCES

1. C. DE BOOR, Splines as linear combinations of B -splines. A survey, in "Approximation Theory II" (G. G. Lorentz, C. K. Chui, and L. L. Schumaker, Eds.), pp. 1-47, Academic Press, New York, 1976.
2. C. DE BOOR, Odd-degree spline interpolation at a biinfinite knot sequence, in "Approximation Theory" (R. Schaback and K. Scherer, Eds.), pp. 30-51, Lecture Notes in Mathematics No. 556, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1976.
3. C. DE BOOR, On local linear functionals which vanish at all B -splines but one, in "Theory of Approximation with Applications" (A. G. Law and B. N. Sahney, Eds.), pp. 120-145, Academic Press, New York, 1976.
4. C. DE BOOR AND G. FIX, Spline approximation by quasi-interpolants, *J. Approximation Theory* **8** (1973), 19-45.
5. S. DEMKO, Local approximation properties of spline projections, *J. Approximation Theory* **19** (1977), 176-185.
6. S. DEMKO, Inverses of band matrices and local convergence of spline projections, *SIAM J. Numer. Anal.* **14** (1977), 616-619.
7. R. DE VORE, Degree of approximation, in "Approximation Theory II" (G. G. Lorentz, C. K. Chui, and L. L. Schumaker, Eds.), pp. 117-161, Academic Press, New York, 1976.
8. J. W. JEROME, On uniform approximation by certain generalized spline functions, *J. Approximation Theory* **7** (1973), 143-154.
9. J. W. JEROME AND L. L. SCHUMAKER: On Lg-splines, *J. Approximation Theory* **2** (1969), 29-49.
10. J. W. JEROME AND L. L. SCHUMAKER, Local support basis for a class of spline functions, *J. Approximation Theory* **16** (1976), 16-27.
11. J. W. JEROME AND R. S. VARGA: Generalizations of spline functions and applications to nonlinear boundary value and eigenvalue problems, in "Theory and Applications of Spline Functions" (T. N. E. Greville, Ed.), pp. 103-155, Academic Press, New York, 1969.
12. H. JOHNEN AND K. SCHERER, Direct and inverse theorems for best approximation by A -splines, in "Spline Functions" (K. Böhmer, G. Meinardus, and W. Schempp, Eds.), pp. 116-131, Lecture Notes in Mathematics No. 501, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1975.
13. S. KARLIN, "Total Positivity," Vol. 1, Stanford Univ. Press, Stanford, Calif., 1968.
14. T. LYCHE AND L. L. SCHUMAKER, Local spline approximation methods, *J. Approximation Theory* **15** (1975), 294-325.
15. M. MARSDEN, An identity for spline functions with applications to variation diminishing spline approximation, *J. Approximation Theory* **3** (1970), 7-49.
16. L. L. SCHUMAKER, Toward a constructive theory of generalized spline functions, in "Spline Functions" (K. Böhmer, G. Meinardus, and W. Schempp, Eds.), pp. 265-331, Lecture Notes in Mathematics No. 501, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1975.

17. U. L. SCHUMAKER, On Tchebycheffian spline functions, *J. Approximation Theory* **18** (1976), 278–303.
18. B. K. SWARTZ AND R. S. VARGA, Error bounds for spline and L-spline interpolation, *J. Approximation Theory* **6** (1972), 6–49.
19. R. S. VARGA, Error bounds for spline approximation, in “Approximation Theory with Special Emphasis on Spline Functions” (I. J. Schoenberg, Ed.), pp. 367–388, Academic Press, New York, 1969.